



*** communism is treason! * fight it with common sense!**

The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper

Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:
(24 issues annually)
Plain envelope, unsealed . . . \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed . . . \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos) \$1.

"The Truth, the whole Truth,
and nothing but the Truth"

Without fear or favor,
Cande W. Cline
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

Issue No. 278 (12th Year)

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A., June 15, 1957

Entered as Second Class Matter
January 27, 1948, at the Post Office at Union, N. J.,
under the Act of March 3, 1879

Five Cents

Communist Influence In Government And Business

By Merwin K. Hart

From Economic Council Letter No. 407



Merwin K. Hart Many authorities agree that Communism, although it has largely gone below ground, is more strongly entrenched in the U.S. today than ever before. Many otherwise well informed people do not believe this yet, or have not given enough attention to what's going on in the world even to have an opinion on it. Communism has shown its influence even in recent Supreme Court decisions, though doubtless all the Justices would vehemently deny this.

Communism has thrived partly because of official encouragement. If American foreign policy had been designed to aid Communism it could not, until fairly recently at least, have been better planned than it has been. Communism has unquestionably infiltrated all three branches of our Government.

But at the moment we call attention to the acquiescence of a considerable number of our business leaders and business groups. Nearly every one of them, of course, is opposed to Communism in principle. Yet tremendous numbers have acquiesced in Government policies, or have followed policies in their own businesses, that have furthered the grand design of Moscow to take over the whole world—especially the United States.

In late April, a staff member of one of the largest national businessmen's organizations in the U.S. wrote the following letter to former Ambassador and Assistant Secretary of State Spruille Braden, himself a businessman of broad experience:

"At the request of the U.S. Dept. of State and Commerce, this organization has agreed to act as host to a visiting delegation of nine Yugoslavian industrialists. These visitors are ranking members in their industrial fields.

"Instead of receiving briefing on broad subjects, this group prefers to interchange information on a round-table discussion basis. We understand they will ask specific questions on such matters as U.S. investments abroad, licensing and marketing methods in the United States.

"The session will be from 3:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, May 9th in the Board Room, here at our headquarters. Will you be free on that day to attend and participate in this discussion? No advance preparation is necessary.

"We are planning for an attendance of from five to seven U.S. members—less than their number. Although most of our visitors speak English, it is likely some interpretation will be needed.

"This note is signed in the sincere hope

that you personally can attend. If you cannot, could one of your associates attend in your stead? In any case, because time is limited, may we be advised as soon as possible?

"Thank you for considering this.

"Our phone number is -----, etc., etc.,

"Sincerely,

(Signed) -----"

Mr. Braden replied the next day as follows:

"Please accept my thanks for your invitation to join a small group to interchange information with a delegation of nine Yugoslavian industrialists, who are ranking members in their particular fields.

"It distresses me that the U.S. Departments of State and Commerce permit such a delegation to visit this country and ask your organization to act as hosts to them.

"I am profoundly shocked that any business organization should consent to be hosts to a group of Communists who are dedicated not alone to the destruction of our free enterprise-capitalist system, but to that of our nation and our Faith. By receiving, honoring and lending prestige to these 'industrialists'—actually I suppose they are the Commissars of their particular industrial fields—your organization in my opinion, gives an aura of respectability to them and creates an execrable example for the American public and the entire world, at a time when we are engaged in a struggle against Communism for our very existence.

"I would just as soon attend a ceremony to honor Judas Iscariot, Benedict Arnold and Alger Hiss.

"I request that this letter be brought to the attention of your Board of Directors.

"Very truly yours,

(Signed) Spruille Braden."

When this letter was brought to the attention of the high staff officers of this particular businessmen's organization, the planned meeting was promptly called off. But without doubt there are many businessmen's organizations that are so uninformed that they would have walked into such a Communist trap. It should have been expected that the Communists would try to infiltrate any organization of such importance.

But to show that many business organizations as well as local political authorities are willing to fall for a scheme to cooperate with these Communist Commissars, we wish to state that the itinerary of the Yugoslav visitors was planned as follows:

Monday, May 6, 1957

United States Dept. of Commerce, Washington, National Sales Executive Association, Batten, Barton, Durstine and Osborne, Inc., Foreign Trade Zone.

Tuesday, May 7, 1957

Produce Exchange, New York, Luncheon at First National City Bank of N.Y., National Association of Wholesale Grocers.

Wednesday, May 8, 1957

Chase Manhattan Bank, N.Y., Luncheon—Commerce and Industry Association, Macy's Department Store.

Thursday, May 9, 1957

New York Port Authority—All day.

Members of both Houses of Congress returned from a ten-day Easter recess more convinced than ever that a substantial reduction in the budget must be made. One Representative from the West told us he had lunched recently with half a dozen other Congressmen, all of them strikingly outspoken in this attitude.

The budget now seems bound to be cut rather substantially. Probably more people know of more instances of waste or unnecessary spending than ever before in our history. There is a growing chance a tax cut effective Jan. 1, 1958, may result; but this will depend largely on the tax receipts and the general picture of Government finance at the close of the fiscal year, June 30. Earnings of many corporations are down; and since 52% of the earnings of large corporations is grabbed by the Government, there may be substantial falling off in receipts there.

The encouraging thing is that the conviction has grown in both Houses that the spending of recent years has been far too great. As we reported in Letter No. 402, the proposed budget of \$71.8 billion is 17% greater than the combined budgets of the 32 next largest spending nations, not including Soviet Russia. This percentage figure is based on United Nations data. How did we ever get into such an absurd position?

While we have been increasing our debt and spending, Canada since 1945 has cut substantially both taxes and debt. Britain has just cut income taxes, partly because the U.S. granted her a loan and allowed her to skip certain interest payments on her

—o— (Continued on Page 4) —o—

People Such As This Influence Our Children



Albert E. Blumberg

Blumberg, National legislative chairman of the Communist Party and former professor of philosophy at John Hopkins University.

He was tried at Philadelphia under the Smith Act, and found guilty on March 7-56, of advocating the violent overthrow of the United States Government.

Eulogies To A Great Patriot

By George S. Schuyler
From The Pittsburgh Courier

When death suddenly and tragically removed Senator Joseph R. McCarthy of Wis. from this mortal soil, America lost its greatest son and patriot since the passing of Sen. Robert A. Taft.

I am glad to have known, talked and corresponded with him, for he was a rare personality, a man who had risen by industry and sheer merit from poverty and obscurity to the U.S. Senate, a man who won nation-wide praise and condemnation because he led a two-fisted, uncompromising fight against the Communist conspiracy when no other solon could be found to do so. The hysterical vehemence with which he was traduced by the army of Reds, fellow-travelers, crypto-Communists and their dupes in high places whose collectivist conspiracy he exposed, was a compliment to his patriotic endeavors.

Never in American history has any man dedicated to the protection of the nation from foreign enemies and their domestic dupes been subjected to such a sustained barrage of contumely. Never have so many so shamelessly sought by every low trick in the book to destroy a man whose "crime" was that he wanted the pinks and punks ousted from the State and other Government Departments, wanted to expose the spy cells and their dupes whose policies lost us the World War II victory in Europe and Asia, wanted to spotlight the horde of brainwashed Communist stooges controlling labor unions, newspaper policies, the theatre, the dance, radio and TV (and to an extent still do), and wanted to clear out the dangerous Red agents from sensitive defense departments and installations. The pink and Red-tinted opposition fought him with feverish fear. Why? Because they knew he couldn't be scared nor bought, and was determined to do right despite personal fortune.

Having been in the front ranks of the anti-Communist fight since 1922, and familiar with every twist and turn of the slimy conspiracy to capture and collectivise America, I assert that Joe McCarthy was not wrong on a single person he named. No matter how strong his language was on occasion, it was justified considering the danger. When even Presidents of the U.S.



The late Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy

A fearless patriot who loved America and fought everyone and anyone who would do her harm. He will live in the hearts of all true freedom loving Americans forever.

A few Senators mentioned that they intended to have the U.S. Senate expunge the Censure that was voted against McCarthy. Let's not forget to write and urge your Senator to support this move, NOW!!



George S. Schuyler

are brainwashed and hornswoggled by these conspirators, it is time for strong words and strongest action. What McCarthy exposed was only the surface. Many cells and apparatuses still remain. Let us hope someone with Joe's determination and persistence will expose them despite the generation of brainwashed vipers who traduced him and seek to smoke-screen the truth.

I am forever glad that as a founder and director of the American Committee for Cultural Freedom, I resigned and denounced the group when its growing anti-anti-Communism induced it to collect a subsidy from mysterious and unrevealed sources to publish a smear book, "McCarthy and the Communists." Joe McCarthy will live long after his traducers are gone.

By Merwin K. Hart
President National Economic Council

Sen. Joseph R. McCarthy, who died May 2, 1957, made a deeper and more lasting mark in American history than any other American statesman of his time. He had the vision to see what Communism had done and plans to do to his country. Beyond this, he had the courage and ability to get his story across to the American people. He had the courage, too, to stand with the few—to stand alone, if necessary, in the fight to preserve his country's freedom.

The Senator wholly lacked that quality, too common in American public life, that makes men willing to tag along with the crowd—unwilling to stand and fight unswervingly for principle.

Many men and women hated him. But many more honored, respected, and loved him. In its efforts to destroy McCarthy, the Administration of his own Party was willing to throw away the control of both Houses of Congress in 1954 and again in 1956. This conspiracy to destroy McCarthy was Communist-inspired, however little some of those who took part realized this truth.

In contrast with the dedication and patriotism of McCarthy, the term "modern Republicanism" sounds flabby indeed, and suggests the kind of weakness that, if not eliminated, will destroy both the Republican Party and America herself.

McCarthy, like all the rest of us, had his faults and shortcomings. But in the presence of the continued infiltration of Communism, this country is going to be saved only by the leadership of men of the courage and fighting spirit of Joe McCarthy.

Read and pass on!

P. O. Box 424
Winter Park, Florida

TO ALL FREEDOM-LOVING AMERICANS

May 2nd, 1957, should bring sadness to every Freedom-Loving American, for on that date America lost Senator Joseph R. McCarthy, one of our greatest fighting sons. Senator McCarthy brought evil (Communism) to the attention of the citizens of the United States of America more than any other one American. He was killed by the anti-Christ movement in the world, particularly here in these United States of America. But he was not destroyed. His great Patriotism and Americanism will live on forever.

I know of nothing more fitting than to set up a Living Memorial to him. This has been started by Fulton Lewis, Jr., 1627 K Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. This Living Memorial Fund is known as The Joe McCarthy Memorial Fund and is for the support of Mrs. Joseph R. (Jean) McCarthy and her daughter, Tierney.

I, therefore, urge and recommend that every American who signed one of our anti-censure petitions for the Ten Million American drive send at least one dollar to Fulton Lewis, Jr. at the above given address.

George E. Stratemeyer
GEORGE E. STRATEMEYER
Lt. General, USAF, (Retired)

McCarthyism Is Americanism!

Long May It Live!

Jewish Pressure-Politics Exposed!

By Robert Lee Gibbons

For many years conservative, nationalist Gentiles have recognized that Jews are overwhelmingly "liberal" or Marxist on domestic political issues, and internationalist in foreign affairs, and that they constitute a voting bloc which will support political candidates espousing such causes. We now have corroboration of this deduction, and from the proverbial "horse's mouth."

This confirmation is afforded by a book entitled "The Political Behavior of American Jews," by Lawrence H. Fuchs (Jew), Assistant Professor of Political Science at Brandeis University. It is published by The Free Press, of Glencoe, Illinois, the editor of which is one Jeremiah Kaplan. Hence the book could scarcely be considered anti-Semitic in derivation, and this fact renders more significant the statement by the author in the book's preface that "both the anti-Semite and the philo-Semite will find material here which they can put to their own uses."

Although emphasis is placed on the political behavior of American Jews in recent years, Fuchs describes their political sentiments and reactions from the founding of the Republic. For instance, he stated that they supported Thomas Jefferson "almost as one man." "There is no record of a single prominent Jewish opponent of the lanky, red-headed philosopher-politician," he proclaims. In citing reasons for this support Fuchs asks "Had not Jefferson written to a Savannah rabbi that diversity in religion was the best thing for the country?" (p. 26)

Another fair-haired boy to American Jewry was Martin Van Buren. Van Buren's claim to the favor of the Jews was derived from the following incident, on page 30:

"When the charge of ritual murder was brought against the Jews of Damascus by the local Franciscan Order, at the instigation of the French Consul, Van Buren acted swiftly to succor the Jews involved. As soon as the American Consul at Alexandria communicated news of the attacks being made on the Jews in Damascus, Van Buren sent letters of instructions to the American Consul there and the charge d'affairs in Constantinople to use their good offices to protect the Jews, many of whom had been imprisoned, tortured and murdered."

The diligent student of Jewry will note in passing that Fuchs does not deny the above charge of ritual murder, but attempts to place the French Consul in a bad light for his "instigation."

More recent beneficiaries of Jewish support at the polls were Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson. Among the supporters of both men was the international banker Jacob Schiff, who gave financial assistance in 1917 to Messrs. Lenin and Trotsky as well.

Franklin D. Roosevelt eclipsed all other figures in American history in the affections of Jewry. Fuchs reports that the results of national surveys conducted by the American Institute of Public Opinion and the National Opinion Research Center at the University of Denver, show that "more than ninety out of every one hundred Jews in the nation voted for Roosevelt in 1940-44." Such findings must be embarrassing for the apologetes for Jewry who claim that there is no such thing as "the Jewish vote" and block voting by Jews. (p. 73)

In explaining this singular devotion to a man whose policies and acts were so tragic for America (or, at least, the Gentile majority thereof), Fuchs states:

"The two broad political triumphs which history will associate with the name of Franklin Roosevelt were: first, leading the American nation into European and international affairs on behalf of the Allies against the fascists and Nazis in World War II; and second, persuading the nation to accept the proposition that the national government is responsible for the welfare of all its citizens. In our time the first has gone under the name of internationalism

and the second under the name of liberalism. In both political adventures Roosevelt had the solid support of American Jewry." (p. 100)

The above quotation amply confirms the suspicions of all Jew-wise nationalists and conservatives.

Fuchs proceeds to point out, however, that recent studies show that Jewish support for internationalism continued after the defeat of Hitler. "Survey results in recent years reveal that Jews have been first among the supporters for the U.N., World Government plans, Point Four, more liberal immigration policies, and aid to Europe." (p. 101)

The author makes some amazingly candid statements concerning Jewish sentiments in the 1948 campaign, as follows:

"Did Jews perceive that their group interest in internationalism would best be served by the election of Truman or Henry Wallace? Presumably the answer is yes. Otherwise, how could the extraordinary defection to Henry Wallace be accounted for?... Wallace based much of his campaign on the failure of Truman to carry on the internationalism of Roosevelt (negotiation with Russia) while the Republicans, if they debated foreign policy at all, chided Truman for being too internationalist." (p. 102)

Thus it seems that Jews, who protest publicly that they vote as Americans and not as Jews, have a "group interest in internationalism." While most other Americans, in 1948, were appalled and disgusted at the wartime negotiation and sellouts by Roosevelt to the Communists, the Jews were so disappointed at the cessation of such negotiation that they engaged in an "extraordinary defection" to the banner of Bubblehead Wallace of guru letter fame. Could this account for the Red complexion of Bubblehead's campaign?

Also with reference to Jewish preoccupation with internationalism, this book reveals that national surveys of the Elmo Roper organization showed that Jews were one of the few groups not critical of our Korean policy, and which favored negotiation there, and that they favored the European aid program more enthusiastically than Gentiles did. "American Jews," Fuchs states, "continued to associate their own group interest in internationalism with the fortunes of the Democratic Party in Presidential elections." (p. 103)

We leave to the individual Christian American to decide whether the above policies favored by the Jews, because of their "group interest in internationalism," have served the Republic well. On the basis of the results the answer should be self-evident.

Turning to domestic issues, Fuchs proudly proclaims the Jews were foremost in support for social security, unemployment insurance, favorable labor legislation, and progressive (Marxist) taxation. (p. 103)

Most white Gentiles, as well as a growing number of Negroes, in the South realize that the Jews are behind the drive for "civil rights" and mongrelization of the "goyim" races. In this area also Fuchs leaves the proverbial cat out of the bag. He reports that Samuel Lubell discovered there was no group in 1948 stronger for FEPC measures than the Jews, and reveals that in 1952 the results of surveys on the Negro rights issue showed Jews "were zealous in the quest for legislation protecting those rights and that the Wallace movement in 1948 received its strongest support from Jews in Atlanta. Of particular relevance to the present fight on integration in Virginia is the revelation by Fuchs that according to a mail survey of Jewish adults in Roanoke, more than 7 out of every 10 Jews in that city favored the 1954 Supreme Court decision on desegregation." (p. 108) Those leaders of White Citizens' Councils who accept the membership and money of Jews should read this book.

On other civil rights issues, such as "Communism in Government," according to 1952 surveys, the Jews "were at variance

with every other segment of the population." The following quotation from page 109 speaks for itself:

"More than any other group, they were shocked at the behavior of Sen. McCarthy. Only the Jews thought that McCarthy handled the issue of Communists in government more poorly than the State Dept., President Truman, and the rest of Congress combined. Every Roper and Gallup public opinion poll has shown the Jews to be overwhelmingly hostile to McCarthy, although a majority of Christians viewed him with favor at the height of his power. The reaction of the Jews to the charges of Senators McCarthy and Jenner that the Democratic Administration had been honeycombed with Communists was distinctly negative."

The author admits there was one party, "an international party already known to many Jews before they came to America, which militantly advanced programs of interest to a great many Hebrews, the Socialist Party." (p. 124) Among such programs were international brotherhood and the welfare state. The Socialist strongholds were the Jewish ghettos, he asserts.

In his last chapter Fuchs rushes in where even Sigmund Freud would have feared to tread. He attempts to subject the Jewish electorate to a depth analysis to determine the sources of their internationalism and liberalism. He gives the following quotation from a book by J.O. Hertzler, "The Sociology of Anti-Semitism throughout History":

"Every influence and contact and experience has made him a universalist and internationalist...he has participated out of proportion to his numbers in movements for international justice, understanding, and humanitarianism, and has been receptive to social philosophies with a world view such as socialism, Communism, and a world state." (p. 171)

Here we see the double standard in operation. If a Christian had written that Jews are receptive to Communism, the Anti-Defamation League would smear him unmercifully.

Fuchs draws upon the Judaized jargon of psychiatry in explaining their internationalism. They are "insecure" because of anti-Semitic persecutions, and are anxious that the United States, "a country whose beneficence towards Jews in international affairs has been well demonstrated, play a strong role in international politics." (p. 172)

We are told that the Jewish fetish for "learning," at least, in part, assured their positive response to the Roosevelt "brain trust," (p. 189) and that their love for worldly pleasures directly influenced their position for birth control. (p. 190)

In comparing Jewish beliefs with those of Christians, Fuchs makes the startling admission that "American Jews, not Christians, have served these causes (of Communism and utopian ideas of world government) far out of proportion to their numbers." (p. 192) Any Christian who made this assertion, of course, would be a "despicable, base, undemocratic, un-American, anti-Semitic fascist." He also states the well-known fact that "the Jewish religion and culture are centered on the here and now, as the Christian religions and cultures are not." (p. 200) It is this fact, together with the "unusual cohesiveness and solidarity of the Jewish group," which explains what the author has shown in this book: that "an unusual number of Jews do derive their political attitudes and opinions from being Jewish." (p. 200)

Don't hold your breath waiting for the Anti-Defamation League to attack Fuchs for these disclosures. He was the recipient of a grant from the Sigmund Livingston Fund of the Anti-Defamation League to conclude his Boston survey, and he thanks Sol Kolak, the Director of the Boston office of the League, for his interest. Despite these facts, however, we recommend this book to all nationalists.

Communist Influence

—o— (Continued from Page 1) —o—

debts to us. Other countries have cut their income tax because of moneys received from the United States.

We have been financing reduction of debt and taxes for many other countries by reaching into the pockets of American citizens and extracting additional amounts for the purpose.

How crazy can we get? And yet the Administration had the unmitigated nerve, early in the year, to tell the people that the President's budget could not be cut, and also that the people do not "understand!"

Instances of utter waste, including absolutely needless spending, could be produced in abundance. A Massachusetts school teacher gave two instances in her letter to her Senator, which we printed in Letter No. 406. Another case—a mass meeting of many hundreds—was recently held in Manhasset, N.Y., where it was proposed to spend \$10 million to construct a by-pass to an important highway. Only two persons, both state officials, spoke in favor of it. Many spoke against the scheme. The cost would have been equally divided between N.Y. State and the Federal Government. One State official let the cat out of the bag when he said, as an argument in favor of the project: "If they don't spend it here, they'll spend it elsewhere!" There are communities like Manhasset in every section of the United States where useless, or at least postponable projects have been urged, and in many cases undertaken. So opposition to the fantastic Federal budget will save money for States as well as for the United States.

One of the biggest boondoggles of modern times is the United States Information Agency (USIA), whose job is asserted to be to "tell the American story" to foreigners. John O'Donnell, the columnist, stated recently, "The activities of the USIA have made America a laughing-stock in a field where the U.S. leads the world—news gathering, advertising and publicity." One item of the proposed \$140 million for which this agency asked is \$11,400,000 a year for world-wide press service. Since Associated Press, United Press and International News Service have world-wide connections and have long done a competent job (although at times some of their stories have been slanted), to lay such an expenditure on the backs of American taxpayers would be a joke, if it were not so serious.

Of the \$140 million USIA asked, \$56,400 is for subscriptions to **The New York Times** and **New York Herald Tribune** for Members of the British Cabinet, the House of Commons, the House of Lords and "British Newspaper editors and opinion makers." Both of these N.Y. papers are extremely internationalist and one-world minded, and frequently place the interest of other countries, especially Britain, on an equal plane with or even ahead of those of the U.S.

Then out of the taxpayers' pocket will also come the cost of 200 jets which the President appears to have decided to send to Communist Yugoslavia, as well as \$125 million in aid—which we understand also has been decided upon—to Communist Poland. The idea in both cases seems to be that if we sweeten up the kitty to the extent indicated, we will somehow buy continued "friendship" from those countries. And to do these and a thousand other things that could be listed, the Federal Government takes 20 to 91 percent of the incomes of all American citizens, after having taken 52% of the income of all large corporations before the individual stockholder is sheared a second time.

These two projects are merely the most recent instances of America's shoring up (with your tax dollars) sagging Communist governments, when to withhold this support might assure their fall.

This eagerness of the Administration to send our money, or things our money can buy, to Communist countries, is simply a continuation of the soft-on-Communism attitude of both the Roosevelt and Truman Administrations. Apparently the State Dept. hasn't learned a thing. Or could it be that

the policy is laid down by Communists or Communist sympathizers within the Dept., or by persons influencing the Dept. from the outside?

At the instigation of Harry Hopkins in 1941, Soviet leaders were persuaded to be good fellows and accept what grew to be \$11 billion of Lend-Lease. Much of this was not for war materiel at all, although that was the pretext. Britain was as crazy (or as much Communist-influenced) as we were in sending "aid" to Soviet Russia. It was pointed out in substance by the late Capt. Russell Grenfell, R.N., in his **Main Fleet To Singapore**, published about 1950, that one reason the British lost Singapore, their greatest base in the world, was that planes necessary to defend it had been sent by Churchill to Soviet Russia.

Then there was also the mysterious order that compelled Gen. Patton and other great American military leaders to fall back a hundred miles from ground they had fought for and won, in order to permit Soviet forces to overrun East Germany and occupy the Balkan States. This enabled Soviet Russia to capture and take to Russia many of the most brilliant German engineers, chemists, etc. And that is why Soviet Russia is so powerful today in various new weapons, about which she would have known little but for the stupidity of the Allied command in graciously permitting Soviet Russia to take whatever she wanted in Eastern Europe.

Then again, Communist infiltration in the State Dept., employed such organizations as the Institute of Pacific Relations (whose leader, Owen Lattimore, after a thorough investigation by a Congressional Committee, was a "conscious, articulate instrument of the Soviet conspiracy"), to mastermind the takeover of China with its 600,000,000 people. That loss of China was perhaps the greatest blow the West has ever taken from the Soviets. Yet our Communist-infiltrated Administration in Washington allowed this course to be followed.

And now in the year 1957 we are yielding to Communist pressure (applied ever so brilliantly and skillfully) in this matter of grants to Communist Poland and jets to Yugoslavia.

Will we never stop this throw-away of our national resources and eventually, of our liberty? By the terms of the so-called Mutual Security Act this matter is wholly in the hands of the President. He doesn't need to consult anyone.

However, as of this writing, both of these proposed steps can be stopped. They can be stopped if enough Americans write or wire their protests to their Senators, and particularly to Sen. William F. Knowland. He is strongly opposed to both measures, and such letters and wires will strengthen his hand.

The growing and just complaint of the American people is that we have **too damned much government**. That by itself is unquestionably a vital part of the Communist-international socialist plot; for never before has there been anything like such a mighty effort to extend the tentacles of government into every branch of American life.

Many important businessmen have told us, that they "are not in politics." **They are wrong.** They are in politics up to their neck. They, more than any group in the country, can stem the tide which at flood will submerge their country, themselves and the businesses they are so eager to protect.

So, Fellow-Citizens—unless you enjoyed paying those taxes April 15th, and unless

you enjoy submitting to more regimentation from Washington, write again to your Congressman and your two Senators.

What Saps We Are

Dublin's Lord Mayor, Robert Briscoe, arrived at Idlewild Airport March 14, 1957. Shortly after Briscoe was elected Mayor of Dublin by drawing the lucky number from a hat, Eastern newspapers began carrying articles about Briscoe coming to New York to appear at Irish celebrations which were supposedly to take place in a number of large cities. These articles appeared often with the earmarks of professional authorship, giving the impression that here is a Jew who is more Irish than Jewish. He loves Ireland so much he must come over as a good-will ambassador of the Irish people and show the U.S. just what a good Irishman he is.

In all the articles conditioning Christians to welcome this Irish Jew, not one word about the United Jewish Appeal was mentioned. (shrewd of them eh wot?)

On St. Patrick's Day, Briscoe marched at the head of the enormous parade in N.Y. This put him on the front pages and then came the announcement that he was here to make a 6 week tour of the U.S. on behalf of the United Jewish Appeal! Page ads appeared dated Mar. 21st, in many Eastern papers bearing a large picture of Briscoe, with one hundred million dollars as the UJA goal. Just how good an Irishman Briscoe is could be anybody's guess.

The N.Y. Daily News of March 15th, reports a speech to 200 Jewish leaders at a luncheon the previous day, in which Briscoe is quoted:

"There is nothing to wonder about because I speak Yiddish. A Yid is a Yid whatever else he may be." And, he continued "Although I happened to be born in Ireland and spent most of my life in politics, I have always been a Yid and I am still a Yid, and I have never forgotten."

So there we have it—Briscoe is a Khazar who speaks Yiddish and just happened to be born in Ireland. There are plenty more who just happened to be born in the U.S.!

In an interview on March 15th, in N.Y., he stated:

"I had always believed the Jews would never have a national home in Palestine, until they showed the world they were ready to spill their blood to get it."

He failed to mention that the Zionist Jews also spilled much Arab Christian blood and murdered innocent women and children plus driving them from their homes into the Sinai Desert, where they barely exist on 7¢ a day from the U.N.

Briscoe boasts of being a Zionist and of going to Poland and training young Jews with guns to move on Palestine and kill Arabs who had been driven from the homes where they had lived without interruption for over 1700 years.

Briscoe tells of his friendship with Vladimir Jabotinsky, leading Zionist, and their activity in driving the Arabs out of their homes. Jabotinsky, wrote in his book **DIE JUDISCHE KRIEGSFRONT**, in 1940, referring to the second World War—"When the National socialists and their friends cry or whisper 'this is brought about by Jews' this is perfectly right!"

SO WE NOW HAVE ZIONIST PROMOTED BROTHERHOOD!!!

ORDER NOW!

INSIDE THE STATE DEPARTMENT
Revelations of a Former Official

By Bryton Barron

Admirably equipped through background, first-hand experience and more than a quarter of a century's affiliation with the U.S. Dept. of State, Bryton Barron presents in **INSIDE THE STATE DEPT.** a forthright, courageous and often shocking picture of what actually takes place behind-the-scenes in that most important and controversial governmental agency. Price—\$3.00

order from

Christian Educational Association
Union, New Jersey

Common Sense*
Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
Conde McGinley, Editor

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500
One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50
First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year
FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

Common Sense*
Published twice monthly at
530 Chestnut St. Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office